IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| DAVID ANTHONY McKINNEY, Inmate |) | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| #15465-057, |) | |
| TV 4 .400 |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | CIVIL NO. 07-495-GPM |
| VS. |) | |
| |) | |
| ALBERTO GONZALES, et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

MURPHY, Chief District Judge:

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed a civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Despite correspondence with the Clerk, Plaintiff has not yet paid the \$350 filing fee for this action, nor has he filed a properly supported motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within THIRTY (30) DAYS of the date of the entry of this order, Plaintiff shall pay the \$350 filing fee applicable to this action. In the alternative, Plaintiff may file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, supported by a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint and an affidavit that includes a statement of his assets. Plaintiff is **ADVISED** that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from each such facility and to forward it to the Court. Plaintiff is **FURTHER ADVISED** that his obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was

incurred at the time the action was filed; such an obligation will exist whether or not Plaintiff is

granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); see also Lucien v. Jockisch,

133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of this thirty-day period, should

Plaintiff fail to comply with this order, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an order

of this Court. FED.R.CIV.P. 41(b); see generally Ladien v. Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997);

Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 09/05/07

G. Patrick Murphy

s/ G. Patrick Murphy

Chief United States District Judge